

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 19, 1999 LB 72, 73

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...participate, to participate. Just like when they have these multijurisdictional task forces now on drugs and other things, they don't compel any agency to participate, it is cooperative.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's the way they have operated.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would hope that would be the case, although I can foresee the possibility that some jurisdiction may refuse to send an officer, unless they are being reimbursed for that cost. Do you think that might happen?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If that happened, then that jurisdiction would not be compelled to send anybody. And I'm sure that there would be some discretion and sound judgment utilized by the special prosecutor. This is not to suggest that they would have to go all the way to the other side of the state, or have any geographic distance in terms of where the team members would come from.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Would it.... I don't know quite how to frame this. But would it make any sense...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. And I rise to make some general comments, and then I will have some questions with respect to Senator Chambers' proposal...proposed amendment. The thing that I think is incumbent upon all of us, with respect to examining the language of the amendment, is that we are on Final Reading. We are returning a bill which, when the amendment is adopted, will come back up for a final vote and, unless it's returned again, cannot be amended. Now we can't amend the proposed Chambers amendment. And that's why it's always nice to have these things have another round of debate, an opportunity for...for amendment, if it's needed. Now maybe the bill, which is LB 73, is in pristine form as it's been amended. But I have some questions that aren't clear to me, and